

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached replacement and annotated sheet(s) of drawings includes changes to FIGS. 1, 2, 4A, 4B, 5A and 5B as follows.

FIG. 1 has been amended to state that it is prior art

FIG. 2 has been amended to state that it is prior art

FIGS. 4A-5B have been amended to include reference numeral 34.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed March 9, 2007 has been carefully considered. Within the Office Action Claims 1-3 have been rejected; Claims 1-3 have also been objected to. The Applicant has amended Claims 1-3 and have added new claims 6-15. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Drawings

The informal drawings originally filed with the present application have been objected to in the Office Action. The Applicant has provided new amended drawings, whereby details of the amendments are found in the Amendments to the Drawings section.

Specification and Claims Objections

Portions of the specification, Abstract and claims have been objected to for having grammatical or minor errors. The Applicant has amended these portions in light of the office action. However, Applicant would like to point out that the specification has not been amended to reference Figures 4-6 as suggested in the Section 8, paragraph (g) of the Office Action. Figures 4-6 are referenced on Page 9, Lines 17-19, and Applicant is unaware of any rule which requires that the figures be referenced before the description of an embodiment. Therefore, Applicant respectfully traverses this objection and requests withdrawal of the objection.

The 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph Rejection

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the Applicant(s) regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

However, to expedite prosecution of the present application, Claims 1-3 have been amended to overcome the rejection without unduly limiting their scope. Accordingly, Claims 1-3 are now in a condition for allowance.

New Claims

The Applicant has added new Claims 6-15 to the present application. The Applicant believes that new claims 6-15 are fully supported by the specification and no new matter has been added. Allowance of new Claims 6-15 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

It is believed that this reply places the above-identified patent application into condition for allowance. Early favorable consideration of this reply is earnestly solicited.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Please charge any additional required fee or credit any overpayment not otherwise paid or credited to our deposit account No. 50-1698:

Dated: 6/8/07

Respectfully submitted,




Suvashis Bhattacharya
Reg. No. 46,554

Thelen Reid Brown Raysman & Steiner LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 292-5800
Fax. (408) 287-8040




Amended Sheet
Showing Changes

1 / 3



(PRIOR ART)

FIG. 1



(PRIOR ART)

FIG. 2




FIG. 3

Amended Sheet
Showing Changes

2 / 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B